NFRMPO TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)—AGENDA
April 17, 2019
1:00-3:30 p.m.

1. Call Meeting to Order, Welcome, and Introductions
2. Public Comment ( 2 minutes each)
3. Approval of March 20, 2019 Meeting Minutes (Page 2)

## CONSENT AGENDA

1) Greeley STBG Project Adjustment Request (Page 6) Karasko/Baxter

## ACTION ITEMS

2) FY2020-2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) (Page 17)

## PRESENTATION

## No items this month.

## DISCUSSION ITEMS

3) FY2020-2021 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Tasks (Page 19)
4) 2019 Congestion Management Process (CMP) (Page 20)
5) 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Land Use Scenarios (Page 32)
6) 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Draft Vision Plans Section (Page 36)
7) 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Projects (Page 37)
8) 2045 Regionally Significant Corridors (RSC) Changes (Page 43)

OUTSIDE PARTNER REPORTS
9) NoCo Bike \& Ped Collaborative

Dusil/Willis
10) Regional Transit Agencies
11) Senior Transportation
12) Regional Air Quality Council

## REPORTS

13) Mobility Committee Updates (Page 47)
14) TIP Modifications (Page 49)
15) Federal Inactives Report (Page 53)
16) Roundtable

Karasko
Martin
Martin
Karasko
Bornhoft
Dusil

MEETING MINUTES of the TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) North Front Range Transportation and Air Quality Planning Council<br>Windsor Recreation Center - Pine Room<br>250 North $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ Street<br>Windsor, CO<br>March 20, 2019<br>1:00-2:20 p.m.

TAC MEMBERS PRESENT:
Dave Klockeman, Chair - Loveland
Mitch Nelson, Vice Chair - Severance
Dawn Anderson - Weld County
Allison Baxter - Greeley
Amanda Brimmer - RAQC
Aaron Bustow - FHWA
Eric Fuhrman - Timnath
Michael King - CDOT alternate
Rusty McDaniel - Larimer County
Dennis Wagner - Windsor

NFRMPO STAFF:
Medora Bornhoft
Ryan Dusil
Alex Gordon
Becky Karasko
Sarah Martin

## TAC MEMBERS ABSENT:

Stephanie Brothers - Berthoud
Gary Carsten - Eaton
Rick Coffin - CDPHE-APCD
Ranae Tunison - FTA
Randy Ready - Evans
Johnstown
Milliken
LaSalle

IN ATTENDANCE:
Katie Guthrie - Loveland Alana Koenig - CDOT
Leiton Powell - GET
Jan Rowe - CDOT

## CALL TO ORDER

Chair Klockeman called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

## APPROVAL OF THE FEBRUARY 20, 2019 TAC MINUTES

McDaniel moved to approve the February 20, 2019 TAC minutes. The motion was seconded by Nelson and approved unanimously.

## ACTION ITEMS

March 2019 TIP Amendment - Bornhoft explained the three requests included in the March 2019 TIP Amendment. Fuhrman moved to approve the Amendment. The motion was seconded by Wagner and approved unanimously.

FY2018 TIP Project Delay Review - Bornhoft identified the projects with a first and second delay, which included all of the projects listed as delayed in the packet with the exception of the US287 (N College) Ped Bridge \& Path project, which has gone to ad. Bornhoft explained the policy, including how extensions are granted and that second extensions are intended for projects with delays outside the control of the project sponsor.

Anderson asked how projects with a delay outside of their control can get resolved. Karasko noted this has not been an issue in the past. Anderson explained the second delay for Weld County's CNG Vehicles \& Expansion project is outside their control and the project may not be able to move forward within the next year; however, Weld County is working on a solution and requested a second extension. Bornhoft noted if there are changes TAC would like to make to the delay procedure, they may suggest those during the Draft FY2020-2023 TIP Discussion.

Klockeman noted both projects with a second delay are delayed due to factors outside the sponsor's control. In Loveland's case, the US 287 \& US 34 VMS Signs project has a second delay because CDOT revised the criteria for implementing the project, resulting in higher costs, but the project funding amount is still the same. The City of Loveland is working with CDOT to find a solution and requested a second extension.

McDaniel moved to provide one-year extensions to the projects with a first delay and recommended Planning Council provide second one-year extensions for the projects with second delays. The motion was seconded by Nelson and approved unanimously.

## DISCUSSION

Greeley STBG Project Adjustment Request - Karasko stated Greeley submitted an application for Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) funds for the $59^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and O Street Roundabout project in February 2019. As confirmed with FHWA, the proposed project is a reasonable and eligible use of STBG funds and awarding funds to the project would not constitute sub-allocation. The project does not impact air quality conformity.

To answer TAC members' questions from the January 16, 2019 meeting, Baxter provided additional details on the project selection rationale, environmental and historic considerations, schedule, and source of local overmatch. The project was selected because it is the highest volume intersection along the existing O Street corridor and experiences substantial delays. The project limits do not impact the dump site located in the northwest corner, but a survey will be conducted for hazardous materials. The historic properties located on the other three corners will be surveyed with less than one acre of impact expected. Construction is now scheduled in 2022 instead of 2021 to avoid exacerbating closure impacts due to another nearby project. Funding for the project includes $\$ 7 \mathrm{M}$ from Greeley and 25 percent of the total local match is from Weld County.

Klockeman asked what would happen to remaining federal funds not being requested for the revised project. Karasko stated the funds would go back to the STBG pot. Klockeman asked why Greeley did not ask for the full amount awarded to the project. Bornhoft noted there was an error in the original award letters of about $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ that was corrected in revised award letters. Baxter stated they asked for what they were awarded, not their original application request since they received a partial award.

TAC agreed the project was a valid use of funds. Anderson noted the large community projects were not scored in the 2016 Call for Projects. Karasko explained one large community project was fully funded (Larimer County's) because it received Transportation Alternatives (TA) funding, while the other three received a proportion of their request.

Klockeman and Anderson supported the request to shift funds to the new location. Klockeman suggested the item go to Planning Council for Discussion with some clarifications.

FY2020-2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) - Bornhoft reviewed the Draft FY2020-2023 TIP, which carries forward projects and policies from the FY2019-2022 TIP with several minor updates. One of the updates is revising the definition of delay in the Project Delay Procedure to refer to the milestone dates identified in the application instead of the first year of programming listed in the TIP. Another update is clarifying which projects require air quality conformity determinations based on lane miles instead of length of the travel lane.
Klockeman proposed adding a policy on swapping funds between projects in the same funding categories, based on project readiness. Staff will research policy options.

2019 Congestion Management Process (CMP) Elements - Martin presented key elements from the 2019 CMP, including the definition of congestion, direct and indirect measures of congestion, congestion management strategies, and the congested corridor profiles.

Klockeman asked about the definition of Travel Time Reliability (TTR). Martin explained TTR measures nonrecurring delay and is separate from whether or not a corridor is congested.

Baxter asked if Tier 4 includes installing a signal to reduce delay. Staff will look into how to incorporate that strategy. Dusil suggested incorporating that strategy into signal retiming.

Klockeman stated the congestion identified on I-25 near US34 in the handout aligns with real world experience.
Brimmer noted non-motorized might not be the right word for the Tier 2 category due to the emergence of e-bikes and e-scooters. Brimmer suggested splitting Parking Management/Parking Pricing into two strategies and including them in both Tier 1 and Tier 2 due to the difference in impact between residential parking and downtown parking.

Martin stated the CMP Strategies Inventory chart in the TAC packet reflects strategies submitted by communities and is color-coded based on if the strategy is implemented currently or could be implemented in the future. Martin requested communities that have not yet submitted their strategies to submit them by March 29.

The Draft 2019 CMP will be a Discussion item at the April TAC meeting.
2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Draft Socio-Economic Profile and Performance Based Planning Sections - Karasko stated the 2045 RTP is scheduled for adoption in September 2019 by Planning Council and asked for high-level feedback to incorporate each agency's point of view. The Socio-Economic Profile includes population trends, economic trends, and environmental justice. The Performance-Based Planning section includes the 2045 GOPMT adopted by Planning Council, progress on the 2040 GOPMT, the Call for Projects process, and the draft system performance report. Karasko asked for feedback on these sections by April 5 and will send a meeting reminder for the deadline.

Klockeman asked if the revised sections will come back to TAC. Karasko stated minor changes will be incorporated and will be released in the Draft RTP in June. If any substantive comments are submitted, staff will bring those back to TAC

## OUTSIDE PARTNERS REPORTS (verbal)

Regional Transit Agencies - Guthrie stated an RFP was released for a contract to manage transit amenities such as shelters and included an arts and culture component. Klockeman stated COLT is examining waiving fares for schoolaged children and reviewing potential transit routes in cooperation with the Thompson School District.

Senior Transit Items - Gordon stated the NFRMPO was awarded a second NADTC grant for the One Call/One Click Center. The RFP for the $\$ 5304$ grant to create the Senior Transportation Implementation Plan was released and three proposals were submitted.

Regional Air Quality Council - Brimmer stated the RAQC will hold a board meeting in Loveland on April 5.

## ROUNDTABLE

Karasko handed out draft local match estimates for FY2019 based on 2015 population estimates from DOLA.
Fuhrman stated the Harmony Road construction is ramping up this week with a full closure Thursday, Friday, and Saturday from Club Drive to Latham Parkway.

King stated Executive Director Lew is defining the priorities for CDOT and it is expected more detail will be available on changes in the next week.

Anderson asked if CDOT had provided an update on the functional classification change requests. Dusil stated staff is following up with CDOT but they have not provided a timeline.

## MEETING WRAP-UP

Final Public Comment - There was no final public comment.
Next Month's Agenda Topic Suggestions - TIP for approval, Draft CMP for discussion, UPWP Tasks for 2020 and 2021, plan scenarios for land use, plan projects, multimodal vision plan sections, the Greeley STBG project for approval, and possibly RSCs for Discussion.

Meeting adjourned at 2:20 p.m.
Meeting minutes submitted by:
Medora Bornhoft, NFRMPO Staff
The next meeting will be held at 1:00 p.m. on Wednesday, April 17, 2019 at the Windsor Recreation Center, Pine Room.

Submitted By Becky Karasko

## Objective/Request Action

Discuss the project scope change request submitted by the City of Greeley for the STBG project funds awarded for a capacity project on O Street between 11th Avenue and WCR 37 to a roundabout at $59^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and $O$ Street.

Report<br>Work Session<br>Discussion<br>Action

## Key Points

- Greeley submitted the O Street project in the 2016 Call for Projects and \$1,431,535 federal funds were awarded to the project.
- In 2017, the US85 PEL study recommended the closure of O Street east of US85. Due to the loss of access east of US85, the original project scope is no longer necessary and would not be a good use of funds.
- Of the six STBG applications submitted to the 2016 Call, two received full funding and four received partial funding. Excluding the O Street project, which received partial funding, the other three partially funded projects have $\$ 931,140$ in unfunded requests.
- On February 20, 2019, Greeley submitted an application for the $59^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and O Street Roundabout project to NFRMPO staff.


## Committee Discussion

This is the third and final time TAC will discuss this item.

## Supporting Information

As outlined in the US 85 PEL Study, which was adopted in 2017 and provides a long-range vision for US 85, O St access to the east of 85 is to be eliminated and access moved to CR66 and US 85. In addition to this, since 2016 when the original project scope was submitted and awarded, Weld County and Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) have worked together with the Union Pacific Railroad to escalate this closure. Although an official closure date is yet to be finalized, in 2018 the City of Greeley began to look at alternatives to the vision of O Street and how it could better serve as an alternative for east/west movement. The City of Greeley is requesting approval to change the scope of the project as originally awarded from a capacity project to the construction of a roundabout at the intersection of $O$ Street and $59^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

## Advantages

The new project scope would be located on a more viable section of O Street 3.2 miles west of the original project location and will improve traffic flow on two regionally significant corridors rather than one.
Disadvantages
None noted.
Analysis/Recommendation
Staff requests TAC members recommend Planning Council approval of Greeley's scope change request.

## Attachments

- STBG Application for $59^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and O Street Roundabout Project Scope Change
- 2016 Call for Projects STBG Project Eligibility Requirements


OFFICE OF BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

February 19, 2019

Suzette Mallette<br>MPO Executive Director<br>North Front Range Metropolitan Planning Organization<br>419 Canyon Ave. Suite 300<br>Fort Collins, CO 80521

## RE: Support of STBG Project Adjustment Request

## Dear Suzette Mallette:

The Weld County Commissioners are pleased to continue collaboration with the City of Greeley on the O Street/Crossroads Boulevard corridor vision. The county is supportive of the City's project adjustment request to transfer funds from the $O$ Street road widening project to a roundabout at 59th Ave and O Street.

The O Street corridor is vital for transportation in Weld County as well as in the NFRMPO region. We believe the proposed project has an equivalent if not improved regional benefit. This project will assist Weld County residents by improving the quality and safety of a highly used intersection on O Street.

This partnership is consistent with our goal of promoting roadway quality, functionality and safety for the traveling public. The county has committed to financially support this project and in addition to the $\$ 35,000$ match provided for this project in 2016 , the county will contribute their proportional share of $25 \%$ of the total project cost.

Thank you for your consideration, and if you have any questions, please feel free to contact us.
Sincerely,
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Barluare Kirknuyer

[^0]January 31, 2019
Suzette Mallette
MPO Executive Director
North Front Range Metropolitan Planning Organization
419 Canyon Ave. Suite 300
Fort Collins, CO 80521
RE: Support of request to shift STBG project funding
Dear Ms. Mallette,
I am writing to express my support of the request to shift Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) funding from the O St Widening-11th Ave to WC 37 project to the 59th (CR 31) Avenue and O Street Roundabout project. Improvements to this intersection are of high importance to both the City of Greeley and Weld County. We believe it is important to consider CDOT's recommendation to close O St at US 85; because O St will be closed at the US 85 junction, widening an adjacent segment of roadway no longer presents itself as a wise investment. Therefore we request that grant funding be used in an area of equal need for improvements, which will serve more regional traffic, and provide greater delay and emission reduction.

Improvements to this intersection are identified in the City of Greeley's 2035 Comprehensive Transportation Plan based on forecasted traffic volumes and existing infrastructure needs. Furthermore, the proposed roundabout is consistent with a number of MPO regional transportation goals including increasing mobility, safety, and system reliability. $65^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is Regionally Significant Corridor as defined in the 2040 Regional Transportation Plan that will continue to grow as a north south connection and O St is an RSC that is important for both local and regional travel.

Matching grant funds and overmatch funds will come from the City's 2019-2023 CIP project list.
I offer my full support for the City of Greeley's request to shift project funding.


| Applicant Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Sponsor Agency:: | Agency Contact: | Telephone: | Email Address: |
| City of Greeley | Allison Baxter | City: | Allison.baxter@greeleygov.com |


| Applicant Information |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Sponsor Agency: City of Greeley | Agency Contact: Allison Baxter | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Telephone: } \\ & 970-350-9326 \end{aligned}$ | Email Address:allison.baxter@greeleygov.com |  |
| Mailing Address:1300 A St , Building H |  | City: Greeley | State: <br> CO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zip Code: } \\ & 80524 \end{aligned}$ |
| Additional Financial Sponsors (if applicable): Weld County |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description |  |  |  |  |
| Project Name (160 character limit): Jurisdiction(s): <br> 59th Ave and O St Roundabout Greeley and Weld County |  |  |  |  |
| Project Limits (to and from): 165 ft on each approach of the intersection |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project Length (miles): } \\ & .03 x .03 \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ |  |

Is this part of an ongoing project? If so, please describe.

## No

Project Description:
The 59th/65th Avenue and O St roundabout project will improve traffic flow at a key regional traffic junction. 65th Avenue and O Street is the only intersection along the Crossroads corridor that provides regional north/south and east/west connectivity. 59th Ave extends for 16 miles from SH 14 south to 37th St, and O St extends east from US 85 to 83 rd Ave, with the goal of eventually making a connection to Crossroads Boulevard and $l-25$. This connectivity explains why this intersection has the highest volume of any intersection along O St.

The existing facility is a four-way stop-sign controlled intersection serving approximately 12,000 vehicles per day that operates at a level of service $D$ during PM peak hour. The roundabout will provide approximately 42 hours of delay reduction per day and improve the quality of life for residents through improved travel times. 59th Ave is currently a choke point along O St, but with intersection improvements, travel times along the existing corridor will be noticeably improved.

The conceptual design is a two lane roundabout with pedestrian/bike refuges. While O St remains two lanes, the roundabout would be striped down to a single lane until road widening occurred.

## Project Planning

What 2040 Regionally Significant Corridor is the project on?

## \#23-Crossroads Boulevard and \#20-65th Avenue (59th Ave)

How does the project fit with the 2040 NFRMPO Regional Transportation Plan Corridor Vision?
The project will increase mobility through improved commuter access both east/west and north/south. The roundabout will also facilitate pedestrian movement with the addition of center refuge medians, and help reduce serious crashes and vehicle emissions.

## 2040 Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures, and Targets

| MPO Goal(s) | Performance <br> Measure(s) | Project Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,2, and 4 | 4-Truck travel <br> reliability <br> $5-$ VOC, CO, <br> NOx reduction | The project will improve safety and mobility <br> along O St and 59th Avenue. Reduced <br> delays will result in reduced vehicle <br> emissions. Serious crashes are also shown <br> to be addressed with roundabout installation. |
| How does the project support the MPO Goal? (Please attach all relevant data) <br> The project will improve the quality of life through improved access and reduced delays. It will also <br> help reduce emissions, please see the attached emissions calculator tool that was filled out for the <br> proposed project. The roundabout will improve travel time reliability both north/south on 59th Ave <br> and east/west on O St. Through reduced congestion, freight movement will also be improved. |  |  |


|  | Safety |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Accidents (separated by severity): 9 crashes have occurred from 2012-2017 | Time Period of Accident Data (at least three years): 2012-2017 |
| Source of Data: <br> DiExsys | ADT on facility (if intersection, please provide ADT on all legs): NB:4,040 SB:3,945 EB:2,550 WB:1,865 (2018) |
| Please describe the type of accidents that are occurring (rear-end, broadside etc.) and to what extent the project will address these issues. Also please add any additional safety information that is relevant to the project and not reflected in the data: <br> 1-overturning; 3-broadside; 2-rear-end; 2-approach turn; 1-fixed object. The crashes involved vehicles traveling north and east. Most were 'going-straight', while two crashes involved left-turning vehicles. One crash resulted in serious injury, the remaining were property damage only. No impairment was suspected in any of the crashes. |  |
|  | Mobility |
| Please describe how the project improves mobility. <br> The 59th Ave and O St intersection serves both regional and local traffic; 59th Ave is a north/south regional route that extends from SH 14 south to 37 th St , O St will eventually provide an east/west connection from Weld County Parkway to I-25. |  |
| System Preservation |  |
| Pavement Condition Index Type: <br> 59th Ave- PQI 57 O St- PQI 62 <br> Please describe the pavement condition and how this project will impact / address system preservation. The pavement quality at the intersection is in reasonably good condition. |  |
| Partnerships |  |
| How many financial partners does the project have?Weld County provided a $10 \%$ partnership match on the original project and has provided a letter of support for the shift in project funding. They have helped produce conceptual designs as well as the cost estimate for the project. If approved they have agreed to support $25 \%$ of the total project cost. |  |


| Funding |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source | Amount | Date Available |
| Federal Request | ST\%* |  | 2020 |
|  | ST\%* | \$1,329,008 | 2021 |
| Local Match |  | 354,417 |  |
|  |  | 35,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Local Over Match |  | 5,194,517 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Other Funding |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| State Funding | CDOT | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Project Cost | 6,912,942 |  |
|  | Total Local Funding | 5,583,934 |  |
|  | Total STBG Funding Request | 1,329,008 |  |
|  | Environmental Con | rations |  |

What type of environmental clearance is anticipated? (Categorical Exclusion, Environmental Assessment, Environmental Assessment

Anticipated Project Milestone Dates

|  | Month-Year. (or <br> $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Completion of CDOT/Sponsor IGA (Intergovermental Agreement) (Minimum of 6-8 months) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| FIR (Field Inspection Review) (Minimum of 3-12 months) | $4 / 2020-4 / 2021$ |
| FOR (Final Office Review) (Minimum of 3 months) | $4 / 2021-7 / 2021$ |
| Utility Clearance (Minimum of 1 month) | $8 / 2021-8 / 2021$ |
| Right-of-Way Clearance (Minimum of 12-18 months if acquiring) | $8 / 2021-6 / 2022$ |
| Environmental Clearance (Minimum of 6-8 months) | $6 / 2022-9 / 2022$ |
| Advertisement Date (Minimum of 3 months) | (M/2022 $\mathbf{l}$ |

WELD COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
BUDGET STATUS UPDATE

| Project Name | CR 31 (59th Ave.)(Joint Project with Gre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Limits | WCR 64 AND WCR 31 |  |
| DATE | 29-Oct-18 |  |
| TOTAL BUDGET AMOUNT | \$2,760,000 |  |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | \$950,000 |  |
| ENGINEERING SUBTOTAL | \$150,000 |  |
| ESTIMATED BALANCE REMAINING | \$1,660,000 |  |
| LENGTH (MILES) | 0.82 | DESIGN 2020 |
| Contracted Design/Build |  | R.O.W. 2021 |
|  |  | CONST. 2022 |



TOTAL FOR ROUNDABOUT \$6,912,942



## Project eligibility requirements:

If project touches a state highway, sponsor must get a CDOT letter of support even if CDOT does not provide fundingRegionally Significant Corridor from the 2040 NFRMPO Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)Consistent with the 2040 RTP VisionsAddresses at least one 2040 RTP Performance MeasureLocal match of 17.21 percent (exceptions noted below)Complies with applicable local land use plans or current corridor studies, if availableProject must be within the NFRMPO Boundary (include map in application to show project location)Project must complete a construction or an implementation phaseFederal request cannot be less than $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$Sponsors can only apply for projects equal to the funding shown on the Community Targets Table (see page 2)All projects must be included on the project list template. Projects will be scored using the following criteria.

| Evaluation Criterion | Possible Points |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Large |
| Safety | 25 | 50 |
| Mobility (multi-modal, congestion, reliability, continuity, etc.) | 25 | 45 |
| System Preservation (maintaining the current system based <br> on current pavement condition) | 25 | 0 |
| Partnerships (Each partner must contribute at least 10\% of <br> the local match requirement) | 25 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 100 |  |

Note: Certain safety improvements as listed in 23 U.S.C. 120(c)(1) (traffic control signalization, maintaining minimum levels of retro-reflectivity of highway signs or pavement markings, traffic circles/roundabouts, safety rest areas, pavement marking, shoulder and centerline rumble strips and stripes, commuter carpooling and vanpooling, rail-highway crossing closure, and installation of traffic signs, traffic lights, guardrails, impact attenuators, concrete barrier end treatments, breakaway utility poles, or priority control systems for emergency vehicles or transit vehicles at signalized intersections) may have a Federal share of $100 \%$, but this provision is limited to $10 \%$ of the total funds apportioned to a State under 23 U.S.C. 104.

## AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY (AIS)

North Front Range Transportation \& Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

| Meeting Date | Agenda Item | Submitted By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 17, 2019 | FY 2020-2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) | Medora Bornhoft |
| Objective/Request Action |  |  |
| To recommend Planning Council approval of the FY 2020-2023 TIP. |  | Report Work Session Discussion Action |
| Key Points |  |  |
| - The FY 2020-2023 TIP includes federally funded and/or regionally significant surface transportation projects programmed to receive funding in fiscal years (FY) 2020 through 2023. <br> - All projects with funding in FY 2020-2022 in the current FY 2019-2022 TIP were carried forward to the FY 2020-2023 TIP. <br> - In addition, the FY 2020-2023 TIP includes projects submitted during the January 22 - February 12, 2019 submission window and projects awarded funding in the FY 2022-2023 Call for Projects in March 2019. <br> - A call for roll-forwards will be held in the summer to roll unbudgeted FY 2019 funding into FY 2020. <br> - The policies and procedures in the FY 2020-2023 TIP are carried forward from the FY 2019-2022 TIP; however, the following has been updated in Section 1: <br> - Clarified the TIP Delay Procedure by referencing the milestone dates in the project application instead of the year funding is assigned/programmed in the TIP (pp. 9-10). <br> - Clarified the length of a capacity project that requires an Amendment from "travel lane at least one mile in length" to "two lane miles", which reflects how the length has been interpreted ( p .11 ). <br> - Added a policy on swapping funds between projects in the same funding program (p.9). <br> - Added a description of the Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (ALOP) (p. 6). <br> - Revised the Project Prioritization and Selection section to reflect the outcomes of the FY 20202021 Call for Projects and the FY 2022-2023 Call for Projects (pp. 4-5). <br> - Expanded the Transportation Performance Management (TPM) section to reflect the targets adopted by the NFRMPO in October 2018 and February 2019 (pp. 15-18). <br> - The format of the TIP project tables in Section 3 has been revised to improve readability. <br> - The Environmental Justice areas in Appendix A have been updated as defined in the adopted $\underline{2019}$ Public Involvement Plan (PIP). |  |  |

## Committee Discussion

- The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) discussed the Draft FY 2020-2023 TIP at their March 20, 2019 meeting. Based on TAC feedback, staff added a policy on swapping funds among projects in the same funding program based on project readiness. The policy allows a project to be swapped one time only (p. 9-10).
- Based on Planning Council feedback at their April 4, 2019 meeting, staff revised the wording of the TIP Delay Procedure (p. 9).


## Supporting Information

- The Draft FY 2020-2023 is available at https://nfrmpo.org/wp-content/uploads/draft-fy20-23tiprevised.pdf.
- Additional project selections made through the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) process will be added into the TIP as the information becomes available.
- The public comment period for the Draft FY 2019-2022 TIP opened on April 1, 2019 and closes on April 30, 2019.

Advantages

- Approval of the TIP will ensure the timely merger of projects into the CDOT STIP so budget processes can occur at the beginning of the State FY 2020 on July 1, 2019.

Disadvantages

- None.

Analysis/Recommendation
Staff requests TAC review and recommend Planning Council approval of the FY 2020-2023 TIP Narrative and Tables at their June 2, 2019 meeting.
Attachments

- None.


## MEMORANDUM

## To: NFRMPO Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC)

From: Becky Karasko
Date: April 17, 2019

## Re: FY2020-2021 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Tasks

## Background

The NFRMPO staff has drafted the Tasks and Products for the FY2020-2021 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP). The Finance Committee will review the FY2020 Budget on April 17 and it is anticipated they will recommend Planning Council approval at the June 6, 2019 meeting. The FY2020-2021 UPWP and FY2020 Budget will go to Planning Council for their approval at their June 6, 2019 meeting to allow CDOT and FHWA approval prior to October 1, 2019.

The draft FY2020-2021 UPWP Tasks were provided to TAC ahead of the April 17, 2019 TAC meeting, with comments requested by Tuesday, April 30, 2019.

The updated draft FY2O20 local match requirements by community will be provided at the meeting.
The full FY2020-2021 UPWP can be accessed here: https://nfrmpo.org/wp-content/uploads/fy2020-2021-upwp.pdf.

## Action

NFRMPO staff requests TAC review the FY2020-2021 UPWP and provide comments to Becky Karasko at bkarasko@nfrmpo.org by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, April 30, 2019.

North Front Range Transportation \& Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

| Meeting Date | Agenda Item | Submitted By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 17, 2019 | Draft 2019 Congestion Management Process (CMP) | Sarah Martin |
| Objective/Request Action |  |  |
| To provide an ove by TAC of Chapter Implementation. | Draft 2019 CMP and allow for an in-depth discussion ying Strategies to Manage Congestion and Chapter 5: | Report <br> Work Session <br> Discussion <br> Action |

- A Congestion Management Process (CMP) is a "systematic and regionally-accepted approach for managing congestion." ${ }^{1}$
- CMPs are required to be performance-based; however, federal law does not enumerate specific goals, objectives, or performance measures to include.
- CMPs are required to identify and evaluate potential congestion mitigation strategies.
- CMPs are required to identify an implementation schedule, responsible parties, and possible funding sources for proposed strategies.
- The CMP currently in effect for the region is the 2015 CMP. The 2019 CMP will replace the 2015 CMP and will be incorporated into the 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).
- As noted in the document, several sections are missing data and will be updated following the completion of the 2015 Base Year Regional Travel Demand Model (RTDM) and the finalization of the 2045 Regionally Significant Corridors (RSCs).
- The Draft 2019 CMP is available for TAC review at https://nfrmpo.org/wp-content/uploads/draft-2019CMP.pdf.


## Committee Discussion

- This is the second time the TAC is discussing the $\underline{2019 \text { CMP. TAC discussed elements of the } \underline{2019} \text { CMP, }}$ including performance measures, the definition of congestion, and draft strategies at the March 20, 2019 TAC meeting.
- The draft CMP will go to Planning Council on May 2, 2019 for the first time for Discussion.

Supporting Information

- Relevant Goals and Objectives from the 2045 Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures, and Targets (GOPMT) were incorporated into the 2019 CMP.
- Performance measures were adapted from the 2045 GOPMT and include:
- Travel Time Index (TTI)
- Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)
- Travel Time Reliability (TTR)
- Number of Crashes
- Weekday Transit Ridership per Capita
- Percent of commuter trips made via a non-Single Occupant Vehicle (SOV) mode
- Percent National Highway System (NHS) miles covered by fiber
- Following guidance provided by FHWA during the NFRMPO quadrennial review, the 2019 CMP strategies Chapter was restructured from to the 2015 CMP.
- Strategies were grouped into six Tiers, structured generally from high-efficacy and/or low cost to lowefficacy and/or high cost. High-efficacy strategies are those with a large and lasting impact on VMT and congestion. Each strategy includes a description, example(s), pros and cons, and special considerations.
- An implementation Chapter was added to the 2019 CMP to conform with federal regulations. Chapter 5: Implementation identifies congested corridors, opportunities for managing congestion on these corridors, and parties responsible for implementation, per federal regulations. General

[^1]recommendations for implementing the 2019 CMP and a brief discussion of funding opportunities are also included in Chapter 5.

- Congested Corridors are identified as any RSC with at least one segment with an average AM or PM peak period TTI greater than or equal to 1.5 in 2018 or 2030 and/or a TTR greater than or equal to 1.5 in 2018.
Advantages
- Incorporation of TAC input prior to Planning Council Discussion on May 2, 2019 will enable the $\underline{2019 \text { CMP }}$ to be robust and comprehensive.
Disadvantages
- None.


## Analysis/Recommendation

Staff requests TAC members review the Draft 2019 CMP, especially Chapter 4: Identifying Strategies to
Manage Congestion and Chapter 5: Implementation and provide feedback on content, structure, and presentation.
Attachments

- Sample Congested Corridor: RSC \#1: North I-25
- Draft 2019 CMP Presentation


## RSC \#1: North I-25 Corridor

 RSC \#1, North Interstate 25, runs through the center of the NFRMPO planning area, providing regional, inter-regional, and national connectivity. The corridor is currently two general-purpose lanes in each direction, passing through Fort Collins, Timnath, Windsor, Loveland, Johnstown, and Berthoud.
## Opportunities:

- Implement ramp metering at all on ramps and off-ramps between Johnstown and Fort Collins
- ACST for all signals along US34 and Crossroads Boulevard within one mile of N I-25
- Increase Bustang Express Bus frequency
- Partner with COLT, Transfort, and GET on increasing service to Bustang stops and explore other feeder bus service options
- Complete on-road bicycle infrastructure gaps and develop gradeseparated bike/ped crossings across N I-25 where feasible
- Add Park-n-Ride capacity where feasible
- Study commuter rail options on parallel corridors as identified in the N I-25 EIS


## Parties Responsible:

- CDOT • Timnath
- Larimer County - Windsor
- Weld County
- Loveland
- Fort Collins
- Johnstown
- Timnath

| Metric | 2018 | 2030 | 2045 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of corridor with a TTI >= 1.5 | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Percent of corridor with a TTR >= 1.5 | 0.0\% | - | - |
| Population living within $1 / 4$ mile | 7,357 | 29,828 | 67,014 |
| Jobs located within $1 / 4$ mile | 20,126 | 15,246 | 50,618 |
| Source: NFRMPO 2045 Regional Travel Demand Model (RTDM), NFRMPO 2045 Land Use Allocation Model, INRIX, NPMRDS |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Plan |
| Tier 1: Reducing Trip Generation and Shortening Trips |  |  |  |
| Efficient Land Use and Development Practices |  |  | X |
| Tier 2: Encouraging Shift to Alternative Modes of Transportation |  |  |  |
| Bike Infrastructure |  |  | X |
| Bike Share Service |  |  |  |
| Bus Rapid Transit |  |  |  |
| Car Sharing |  |  |  |
| Complete Streets Policies |  |  |  |
| Mobility Hubs |  |  | X |
| Parking Pricing or Parking Restrictions |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Infrastructure |  |  | X |
| Transit Incentives |  |  |  |
| Transit Service Quality Factors |  |  | X |
| Transit Service Quantity Factors |  |  |  |
| Tier 3: Increasing Vehicle Occupancy and Shifting Travel Times |  |  |  |
| Congestion Pricing |  |  |  |
| High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes |  |  | X |
| Tier 4: Improving Roadway Operations without Expansion |  |  |  |
| Access Management |  |  |  |
| Advanced Traveler Information System |  |  |  |
| Automatic Road Enforcement |  |  |  |
| Dynamic Parking Management |  |  |  |
| Electronic Toll Collection |  |  | X |
| Fiber-Optic Communications |  |  | X |
| Maintenance Decisions and Support System (MDSS) |  |  | X |
| Ramp Metering |  |  | X |
| Signage Improvements |  |  | X |
| Traffic Operations Center |  |  |  |
| Traffic Signal Timing Adjustments |  |  |  |
| Transit Signal Priority |  |  |  |
| Variable Speed Limits |  |  |  |
| Tier 5: Traffic Incident Management |  |  |  |
| Courtesy Patrol |  |  |  |
| Traffic Incident Management Plan |  |  |  |
| Tier 6: Road Capacity |  |  |  |
| Auxiliary Lanes |  |  | X |
| Climbing Lanes |  |  |  |
| Grade-Separated Crossings/Intersections |  |  | X |
| New Lanes/Roads |  |  | X |
| Roundabouts |  |  |  |
| Toll/Express Lanes |  |  | X |
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## Federal Requirements of CMP

- Definition of congestion management objectives and appropriate performance measures
- Coordinated program for data collection and system performance monitoring
- Identification and evaluation of the anticipated performance and expected benefits of appropriate congestion management strategies
- Identification of an implementation schedule, responsibilities, and possible funding sources for each proposed strategies
- Periodic assessment of the effectiveness of implemented strategies



## Structure of the 2019 CMP

## Chapter 1: Background and Purpose

## Chapter 2: Goals and Objectives

## Chapter 3: Quantifying Congestion

## Chapter 4: Identifying Strategies to Manage Congestion

## Chapter 5: Implementation

Direct Measures of Congestion:

| CMP Performance <br> Measure | Description | Type of <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Travel Time Index (TTI) | Ratio of average peak travel time to an off-peak (free-flow) standard. A <br> value of 1.5 indicates that the average peak travel time is $50 \%$ longer <br> than off peak travel times. | Recurring |
| Vehicle Miles Traveled <br> (VMT) per Capita | Miles traveled by vehicles in a specified region over a specified time <br> period. Calculated per person for all trips or for specific destinations <br> including home, work, commercial, etc. | Recurring |
| Travel Time Reliability | Measures unexpected delay. A corridor may be congested, but reliable if <br> the congestion is consistent. | Non-Recurring |
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## Congestion-Related GOPMT

Direct Measures of Congestion:

| CMP Performance <br> Measure | Description | Type of <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number Crashes | Collisions involving one or more vehicles. | Non-recurring |
| Weekday transit <br> ridership per Capita | The number of unlinked weekday trips per resident within each <br> provider's service area. Measuring per capita helps account for <br> continued population growth. | Recurring |
| Percent of non-single <br> occupant vehicle (SOV) <br> commute trips | Percent of all commute trips completed by any mode other <br> than SOV, including by transit, bicycle, walking, or carpooling. | Recurring |
| Percent NHS miles <br> covered by fiber | Percent of NHS miles with fiber-optic cables installed and used <br> for transportation management purposes. | Recurring/Non- <br> recurring |
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## One or more conditions met for any segment on RSC:

- Travel Time Index (TTI) > 1.5 in 2018 or 2030
- Measured using INRIX data, local data (BlueTOAD, Acyclica), or Regional Travel Demand Model data
- Travel Time Reliability (TTR) > 1.5 in 2018
- Measured using NPMRDS data (NHS system only)
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## Key Pieces

- Strategies: pros, cons, special considerations
- Definition of congestion: TTI and/or TTR >=1.5
- Strategies implemented and planned along congested corridors
- Corridor-specific opportunities for managing congestion
- General recommendations for implementation


## Next Steps

- May 2, 2019 Planning Council Meeting- Draft CMP Discussion
- May 15, 2019 TAC Meeting - CMP Recommendation
- June 6, 2019 Planning Council Meeting - CMP Adoption
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## Questions?

# Medora Bornhoft <br> Transportation Planner II mbornhoft@nfrmpo.org <br> (970) 416-2293 

Ryan Dusil<br>Transportation Planner<br>rdusil@nfrmpo.org<br>(970) 224-6191

Sarah Martin<br>Transportation Planner<br>smartin@nfrmpo.org<br>(970) 416-2309

# AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY (AIS) 

North Front Range Transportation \& Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

| Meeting Date | Agenda Item | Submitted By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 17, 2019 | Draft 2045 Regional Land Use Scenarios | Sarah Martin |
| Objective/Request Action | $\square$ | Report |
| To provide an overview of the 2045 Regional Land Use Model socioeconomic <br> outputs for the base-case and high-density scenarios and to discuss the <br> methodology used to develop the high-density scenario requested by TAC. | $\square$ | Work Session <br> Discussion |
| Key Points | $\square$Action |  |

- The 2045 Land Use Allocation Model (LUAM) is an update to the 2012 LUAM.
- 2045 LUAM inputs were developed in closer partnership with the Model Steering Team (MST), which included TAC members and land use planning partners from each community in the NFRMPO.
- The 2045 LUAM base-case socioeconomic outputs went through three rounds of review and revision with the help of the MST.
- TAC recommended developing a high-density land use scenario for the purposes of scenario planning.


## Committee Discussion

- This is the second time TAC is discussing Land Use Scenarios and the first time TAC is seeing the 2045 LUAM socioeconomic outputs, both for the base-case scenario and the proposed high-density scenario.
- The 2045 LUAM base-case socioeconomic outputs, methodology for developing a high-density scenario, and the high-density socioeconomic outputs will go to the Model Steering Team on April 18, 2019 for approval.


## Supporting Information

- The 2045 LUAM is a foundational input to the 2045 Regional Travel Demand Model, since land use (especially households and jobs) is the major driver of trip generation.
- TAC recommended comparing several transportation investments using both a "base-case" land use development pattern and a "high-density" development pattern, to better understand how transportation investment may need to change depending on future, external factors.
- A high-density scenario was developed by identifying the region's "urban core" and scaling up the maximum allowable density in the urban core by a factor of 0.5 . This factor can be readily changed to test different density assumptions for future years.
- The urban core was identified by selecting Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZs) with jobs or households greater than the mean value for the region in 2015, based on 2045 LUAM outputs.
- The base-case outputs are attached to this AIS. The high-density scenario outputs will be provided to TAC members prior to the meeting


## Advantages

- Soliciting TAC input prior to the incorporation of 2045 LUAM outputs into the 2045 RTP and 2045 Regional Travel Demand Model (RTDM) will ensure both the RTDM outputs and scenario discussion best reflect the collective interest of TAC members.


## Disadvantages

- None.


## Analysis/Recommendation

Staff requests TAC reviews the base-case and high-density socioeconomic outputs produced by the 2045 LUAM and provides feedback regarding both sets of outputs and the methodology used to develop the high-density scenario.

## Attachments

- Figure 1. Land Use Allocation Model Base-Case: Households 2015 and 2045
- Figure 2. Land Use Allocation Model Base-Case: Jobs 2015 and 2045
- Table 1. Population and Job Growth by GMA

Figure 1. Land Use Allocation Model Base-Case: Households 2015 and 2045


Figure 2. Land Use Allocation Model Base-Case: Jobs 2015 and 2045


Table 1. Population and Job Growth by GMA

|  | Population and Jobs by Model Year |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GMA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jobs } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pop } \\ 2045 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jobs } \\ & 2045 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pop } \\ & (2015- \\ & 2045) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jobs } \\ \text { (2015- } \\ 2045) \end{gathered}$ |
| Berthoud | 8209 | 4551 | 28607 | 14481 | 4.2\% | 3.9\% |
| Eaton | 5243 | 2301 | 9661 | 2393 | 2.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Estes Park | 9881 | 4936 | 14233 | 5138 | 1.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Evans | 23782 | 5241 | 32839 | 9904 | 1.1\% | 2.1\% |
| Fort Collins | 173998 | 112570 | 256679 | 127076 | 1.3\% | 0.4\% |
| Greeley | 96348 | 71841 | 163506 | 114571 | 1.8\% | 1.6\% |
| Johnstown | 17086 | 6220 | 44303 | 16321 | 3.2\% | 3.3\% |
| LaSalle | 2390 | 1023 | 2696 | 1068 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% |
| Loveland | 80043 | 53582 | 128710 | 125165 | 1.6\% | 2.9\% |
| Mead | 4890 | 1885 | 9398 | 4293 | 2.2\% | 2.8\% |
| Milliken | 7181 | 2481 | 29326 | 4514 | 4.8\% | 2.0\% |
| Severance | 4918 | 1050 | 66625 | 8617 | 9.1\% | 7.3\% |
| Timnath | 3289 | 1164 | 37436 | 6258 | 8.4\% | 5.8\% |
| Wellington | 7063 | 910 | 21358 | 2943 | 3.8\% | 4.0\% |
| Windsor | 25158 | 9446 | 60751 | 27346 | 3.0\% | 3.6\% |
| Non-GMA Larimer | 28922 | 4921 | 37145 | 6385 | 0.8\% | 0.9\% |
| Non-GMA Weld | 20411 | 7105 | 27365 | 12073 | 1.0\% | 1.8\% |

## AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY (AIS)

North Front Range Transportation \& Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Submitted By
Becky Karasko

## Objective/Request Action

Staff is providing the third of six groups of Chapters and Sections for the 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) for TAC review and discussion.
$\square$ Report
$\square$ Work Session
Discussion
Action

## Key Points

- MPO staff is developing the 2045 RTP, scheduled for September 2019 Planning Council adoption.
- The 2045 RTP includes a long-term transportation vision for the region.
- The DRAFT 2045 RTP Vision Plans Section for TAC member's review may be accessed here: https://nfrmpo.org/wp-content/uploads/Vision-Plans.pdf


## Committee Discussion

This is the first time TAC will discuss this Section of the 2045 RTP.

## Supporting Information

The RTP is a federally-mandated plan for MPOs and includes a long-term transportation vision for the region. The 2045 RTPsummarizes the existing transportation system: roadways, transit, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, the environment, and includes a fiscally-constrained corridor plan for the future.

## Advantages

Providing the chapters as they are drafted allows TAC to maximize their time and input in reviewing the 2045 RTP chapters. Staff will provide presentations on the changes to the RTP to summarize changes to assist TAC in their review.

## Disadvantages

None noted.
Analysis/Recommendation
Staff requests TAC members review the portions of the 2045 RTPDraft Sections applicable to their jurisdictions for accuracy and content.

## Attachments

None.

## MEMORANDUM

## To: NFRMPO Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC)

From: Medora Bornhoft
Date: April 17, 2019

## Re: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Projects

## Background

The 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) will identify fiscally constrained roadway capacity projects along 2045 Regionally Significant Corridors (RSCs) as well as fiscally constrained transit projects planned through 2045.

Roadway projects were carried forward from the 2040 RTP and reviewed by local agency staff. Additional projects were added from local agency transportation plans and the Larimer County Regional Needs Assessment. Figure 1 displays the roadway capacity projects by implementation year and labeled by Project ID. Table 1 includes information on each project sorted by community, with multi-community projects listed last. The fiscal constraint analysis will be applied to these projects to determine if projects are fiscally constrained in the timeframe identified. The results of the fiscal constraint analysis will be presented at the May TAC meeting.

Fiscally constrained transit projects will be presented at the May TAC meeting as part of the 2045 Fiscally Constrained Plan.

## Action

Staff requests TAC review the 2045 RTP roadway capacity projects and provide comments by Friday, April 26.

Figure 1. Draft 2045 RTP Roadway Projects


| Project <br> ID | Facility Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BA } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | Year | Community | Project Name | Street Name | Extent N/W | Extent S/E | Base Condition | Forecast Condition | Project Source | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 |  | 2 | 2 | 2019 | CDOT | US287 | 1-25 | LaPorte Bypass | Shields St | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 468b |  | 3 |  | 2035 | CDOT | I-25 GP Widening Segment 7\&8 | 1-25 | SH14 | SH402 | 4 GP Lanes | 6 GP Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 475 |  | 3 |  | 2045 | CDOT | 1-25 GP Widening Segment 6 | 1-25 | SH402 | SH56 | 4 GP Lanes | 6 GP Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 467a |  | 3 |  | 2035 | CDOT | I-25 GP Widening Segment 5 NFR | 1-25 | SH56 | WCR 38 | 4 GP Lanes | 6 GP Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 467b |  |  | 3 | 2035 | CDOT | I-25 GP Widening Segment 5 NFR | 1-25 | SH56 | WCR 38 | 4 GP Lanes | 6 GP Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 468a |  |  | 3 | 2035 | CDOT | 1-25 GP Widening Segment 7\&8 | 1-25 | SH14 | SH402 | 4 GP Lanes | 6 GP Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 470a |  | 3 |  | 2030 | CDOT | I-25 GP Widening Segment 5 DRCOG | 1-25 | WCR 38 | SH 66 | 4 GP Lanes | 6 GP Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 2467 |  | 3 |  | 2017 | CDOT | I-25 Climbing Lane | 1-25 | MM249 | MM247 | 2 Lanes | 3 Lanes | CDOT | Widening |
| 449a |  | 2 | 2 | 2028 | Evans | WCR 54 Widening | WCR 54/ 37th St | 77th Ave / Two Rivers Parkway | 35th Ave. / WCR-35 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Evans | Widening |
| 101 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Evans | 35th Ave Widening | 35th Ave | 37th St / WCR 54 | 49th St | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Evans | Widening |
| 355 |  | 2 | 2 | 2026 | Evans | 65th Avenue Widening | 65th Ave | WCR-54/37th St | 42nd St | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Evans | Widening |
| 102 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2031 | Evans | 35th Ave New Road | 35th Avenue | 49th Street | WCR 35 / WCR 394 | 0 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Evans | New Road |
| 436 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Shields St Widening 1 | Shields | Carpenter | Trilby | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 465 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | US 287 Widening | US 287 | SH 1 | Shields | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 471 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Taft Hill Widening 2 | Taft Hill | Mulberry | Vine | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 440 |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Mulberry St Widening 2 | Mulberry | Riverside | Timberline | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 439 |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Mulberry St Widening 1 | Mulberry | Timberline | Summit View | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 441 |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Mulberry St Widening 3 | Mulberry | Summit View | 1-25 | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 430 |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 8 | Timberline | Prospect | Drake | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 348 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Fort Collins | Prospect Rd Widening 1 | Prospect | Summit View | 1-25 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 442 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2023 | Fort Collins | Taft Hill Widening 1 | Taft Hill | Harmony | Horsetooth | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 429 |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 7 | Timberline | Horsetooth | Harmony | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 446 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Shields St Widening 3 | Shields | Fossil Creek | Harmony | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 425 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | College Ave Widening 5 | College | Fossil Creek | Harmony | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 205 |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Harmony Road Widening 2 | Harmony | College | Boardwalk | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 335 |  | 2 | 2 | 2021 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 1 | Timberline | Kechter | Trilby | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 433 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Carpenter Road Widening 3 | Carpenter | Timberline | County Road 9 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |


| Project ID | Facility Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BA } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | Year | Community | Project Name | Street Name | Extent N/W | Extent S/E | Base Condition | Forecast Condition | Project Source | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 432 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Carpenter Road Widening 2 | Carpenter | Lemay | Timberline | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 426 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Carpenter Road Widening 1 | Carpenter | County Road 9 | 1-25 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 424 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | College Ave Widening 3 | College | Trilby | Fossil Creek | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 423 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | College Ave Widening 1 | College | Carpenter | Trilby | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 438 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Carpenter Road Widening 4 | Carpenter | College | Lemay | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 431 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 9 | Timberline | Vine | Mulberry | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 444 b |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 10 | Timberline | Prospect | Mulberry | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 428 |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 6 | Timberline | Custer | Horsetooth | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 1507 |  | 2 | 2 | 2045 | Fort Collins | Prospect Widening | Prospect | Sharp Point | Summit View | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Larimer Funding Task Force | Widening |
| 336 |  | 2 | 2 | 2021 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 2 | Timberline | Battlecreek | Kechter | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 443 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Taft Hill Widening 3 | Taft Hill | GMA | Harmony | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 427d | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 5 | Timberline | Realigned Vine | Vine | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 444a |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Timberline Rd Widening 10 | Timberline | Prospect | Mulberry | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 437 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Fort Collins | Shields St Widening 2 | Shields | Trilby | Fossil Creek | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Fort Collins 2040 Model | Widening |
| 1701 |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | Greeley | 59th Ave Widening 3 | 59th Ave. | F St. | CR 64 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Greeley | Widening |
| 1702 |  | 2 | 2 | 2023 | Greeley | 35th Ave Widening | 35th Ave | 4th St | F St. | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Greeley | Widening |
| 203 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Greeley | 59th Ave Widening 1 | 59th Ave. | 4th St. | C St. | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Greeley | Widening |
| 1700 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Greeley | 8th St Widening | E 8th St | SH 85 | Weld County Parkway | 2 (3) Lanes | 4 Lanes | Greeley | Widening |
| 457 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Greeley | 59th Ave Widening 2 | 59th Ave. | 20th St | US 34 Bypass | 2 (3) Lanes | 4 Lanes | Greeley | Widening |
| 447 |  |  |  | 2035 | Greeley | 83rd Ave Widening | 83rd Ave. | CR 54 | CR 64 | 2 Lanes | 2 (3) Lanes | Greeley | Check |
| 458 |  | 2 | 2 | 2023 | Greeley | 83rd Ave Widening | 83rd Ave | 18th St | 34 Bypass | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Greeley | Widening |
| 449b |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Greeley | WCR-54 Widening | WCR-54 / 37th St | WCR 17 | 77th Ave / Two Rivers Parkway | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2035 Transportation <br> Plan | Widening |
| 103 |  | 2 | 2 | 2017 | Greeley | 65th Ave Widening | 65th Ave | US34 Bypass | 37th Street | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Greeley | Widening |
| 453 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2035 | Greeley | O St New Road | O St. /Crossroads | 83rd Ave. | SH 257 | 0 Lanes | 2 Lanes | Greeley | New Road |
| 499 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2045 | Greeley | O Street New Alignment | O Street | WCR-35 / 35th Ave | WCR-66 / AA St | 0 Lanes | 2 Lanes | Greeley | New Road |
| 1200 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Johnstown | WCR-17 | WCR-17 Widening | WCR-56 | WCR-54 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Johnstown Plan | Widening |
| 406 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Johnstown | LCR-18 Widening | LCR-18 | I-25 | WCR-13 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Johnstown | Widening |


| Project ID | Facility Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BA } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | Year | Community | Project Name | Street Name | Extent N/W | Extent S/E | Base Condition | Forecast Condition | Project Source | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1201 |  |  |  | 2020 | Johnstown | LCR-3 Paving | LCR-3 | US-34 | LCR-18 | Unpaved | Paved | Johnstown Plan | Paving |
| 1203 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Johnstown | WCR-13 Widening | WCR-13 | WCR-60 | WCR-54 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Johnstown Plan | Widening |
| 404 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Johnstown | SH-60 Widening | SH-60 | 1-25 | WCR-15 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Johnstown | Widening |
| 329 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Larimer | LCR 17 Widening | LCR 17 | LCR 32 | LCR 30 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Larimer | Widening |
| 328 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Larimer | LCR 17 Widening | LCR-17 | CR 16/28th St SW | CR 14/SH 60 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Larimer | Widening |
| 330 |  | 2 | 2 | 3035 | Larimer | LCR 19 Widening | LCR 19 | LCR 32 | LCR 30 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Larimer | Widening |
| 464a | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Loveland | US 287 Widening 1 | US 287 | 29th St. | 71st St. | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 416 |  |  |  | 2035 | Loveland | Taft Ave Widening 1 | Taft Ave. | US 34 | 22nd St. | 4 Lanes (arterial) | 4 Lanes (arterial) | Loveland | Check |
| 308 |  |  |  | 2030 | Loveland | Taft Ave Widening 3 | Taft Ave. | Arkins Branch | US 34 | 4 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Check |
| 402 |  | 3 | 3 | 2025 | Loveland | US 34 Widening 5 | US 34 | Boyd Lake Ave. | Rocky Mountain Ave. | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 309 |  | 3 | 3 | 2020 | Loveland | US 34 Widening 3 | US 34 | Denver Ave. | Boyd Lake Ave. | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 303 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2020 | Loveland | Boyd Lake Widening | Boyd lake Ave. | US 34 | Canal | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 313 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2020 | Loveland | Boyd Lake Widening 3 | Boyd Lake Ave. | LCR 20C | US 34 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 961a |  | 3 |  | 2035 | Loveland | US 287 Widening 2 | US 287 | 1st St / 2nd St | SH 402 | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 961b |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Loveland | US 287 Widening 2 | US 287 | 1st St / 2nd St | SH 402 | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 415 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Loveland | SH 402 Widening 2 | SH 402 | US 287 | St. Louis | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 418 |  |  |  | 2035 | Loveland | Taft Ave Widening 2 | Taft Ave. | 28th St. SW | 14th St. SW | 4 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Check |
| 464b |  | 3 | 3 | 2035 | Loveland | US 287 Widening 1 | US 287 | 29th St. | 71st St. | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 323 | 4 |  |  | 2025 | Loveland | LCR 20C (5th St) Widening | LCR 20C (5th St) | LCR 9E | Boyd Lake Ave. | 2 Lanes | 2 Lanes | Loveland | Check |
| 312 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Loveland | Crossroads Blvd Widening | Crossroads Blvd. | Centerra | LCR 3 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 403 |  | 3 | 3 | 2020 | Loveland | US 34 Widening 6 | US 34 | Rocky Mountain Ave. | 1-25 | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 331 |  |  |  | 2025 | Loveland | LCR 3 Paving | LCR 3 | US 34 | Crossroads Blvd. | Unpaved | Paved | Loveland | Paving |
| 325 |  | 3 | 3 | 2025 | Loveland | US 34 Widening 1 | US 34 | Centerra Pkwy. | Centerra TBD (\#324)/Larimer Pkwy. (LCR 3E) | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 413 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Loveland | SH 402 Widening 3 | SH 402 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Loveland CR9 / } \\ \text { Name TBD ( Alt } \\ \# 420) \text {-to I-25 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1-25 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 304 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2020 | Loveland | Boyd Lake Widening 2 | Boyd Lake Ave. | Plum Creek Dr. | 37th St. | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 1013 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Loveland | Boyd Lake Widening 5 | Boyd Lake Extension | Hwy 402 | E County Rd 16 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 314 |  | 3 | 3 | 2020 | Loveland | US 34 Widening 4 | US 34 | 1-25 | Centerra Pkwy. | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 421 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Loveland | N Fairgrounds Ave Widening | N. Fairground Ave/LCR 5 | Rodeo Rd. | 71st St. (CR 30) | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 968 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Loveland | Soaring Albatross Ave Widening | Soaring Albatross Ave | Cross Roads Blvd | 0.5 miles south | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Widening |
| 418a | 3 |  |  | 2035 | Loveland | Taft Ave Widening 2 | Taft Ave. | 28th St. SW | 14th St. SW | 4 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Loveland | Check |
| 1504 |  | 3 | 3 | 2030 | Timnath | Harmony Widening 2 | Harmony | 1-25 | RR tracks | 2 or 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | 2015 Timnath Plan | Widening |
| 1500 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Timnath | Main St Widening | Main St | Harmony Rd | South GMA | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2015 Timnath Plan | Widening |
| 360 |  | 2 | 2 | 2018 | Timnath | Harmony Widening 2 | Harmony | Three Bell (CR3) | Lathem Pkwy (CR1) | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2040 List | Widening |
| 1503 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Timnath | LCR 1 Widening | LCR 1 | Harmony Rd | South GMA | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2015 Timnath Plan | Widening |
| 357 |  | 2 | 2 | 2016 | Timnath | Harmony Widening 1 | Harmony | RR tracks | Three Bell (CR3) | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2040 List | Widening |


| Project ID | Facility Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { AB } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BA } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | Year | Community | Project Name | Street Name | Extent N/W | Extent S/E | Base Condition | Forecast Condition | Project Source | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1902 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Windsor | SH 392 Widening 2 | SH 392 | WCR-19 | WCR-21 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1911 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Windsor | SH-257 Widening | SH-257 | WCR-74 | SH-392 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 389 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Windsor | SH-392 Widening | SH-392 | LCR-3 | 17th St | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1915 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Windsor | SH-257 Widening | SH-257 | Garden Dr | Crossroads | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1914 |  | 2 | 2 | 2040 | Windsor | WCR-17 Widening | WCR-17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { WCR-62 / } \\ \text { Crossroads } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | US-34 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1900 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Windsor | Harmony Road Widening | Harmony / WCR-74 | WCR-13 / County Line Rd | WCR-15 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1910 |  | 2 | 2 | 2040 | Windsor | SH-257 Widening | SH-257 | WCR-78 | WCR-74 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1906 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Windsor | LCR 5 Widening | LCR 5 | SH 392 | Windsor N GMA | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1901 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Windsor | SH 392 Widening 1 | SH 392 | Westgate Dr | LCR 3 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1907 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Windsor | LCR 5 Widening | LCR 5/Fairgrounds | LCR 30 | SH 392 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1908 |  | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Windsor | WCR-13 Widening | WCR-13 | SH-392 | Kaplan Dr | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1904 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Windsor | Crossroads Widening | Crossroads | WCR 13 | WCR-21 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 1909 |  | 2 | 2 | 2025 | Windsor | WCR-13 Widening | WCR-13 | Kaplan Dr | Crossroads | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Windsor | Widening |
| 498 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2030 | Windsor | Crossroads Blvd New Road | Crossroads Blvd | SH 257 | WCR 23 | 0 Lanes | 2 Lanes | Windsor | New Road |
| 1800 |  | 2 | 2 | 2045 | Berthoud/Larimer | LCR 17 Widening | LCR 17 | LCR 14 | US 287 | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2014 Berthoud Plan | Widening |
| 1505 |  | 2 | 2 | 2045 | Timnath/ Larimer | LCR-5 Widening | LCR-5 | SH-14 | Realigned Main Street | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Larimer Funding Task Force | Widening |
| 349 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Timnath/FoCo | Propect Widening | Prospect | West Timnath GMA | Main St | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2015 Timnath Plan | Widening |
| 206 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2024 | Johnstown/CDOT | WCR-9.5 New Road | WCR-9.5 | LCR-14 / WCR-50 | SH 60 / 1st St | 0 Lanes | 2 Lanes | CDOT | New Road |
| 319 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2024 | Johnstown/CDOT | WCR-9.5 New Road | WCR-9.5 | SH 60 / 1st Street | WCR 44 / SH 56 | 0 lanes | 2 lanes | CDOT | New Road |
| 1005 |  | 3 | 3 | 2030 | Loveland/ Johnstown/ Greeley/ Evans/ Weld | US 34 Widening | US-34 | LCR 3 (MP 97.8) | MP 113.65 | 4 Lanes | 6 Lanes | CDOT 10-Year Development | Widening |
| 1506 |  | 2 | 2 | 2045 | Loveland/ Larimer | LCR-17 Widening | LCR-17/Taft | LCR-30 | LCR-28/57th Street | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Larimer Funding Task Force | Widening |
| 1020 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2035 | Evans / Weld County | 35th Ave New Road | 35th Avenue | WCR-394 | US-85 | 0 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Evans | New Road |
| 317 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2024 | CDOT/Johnstown | WCR-9.5 New Road | WCR-9.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH 402/LCR } 18 \text { / } \\ & \text { WCR } 54 \end{aligned}$ | LCR 14 / WCR 50 | 0 Lanes | 2 Lanes | CDOT | New Road |
| 1505 |  | 2 | 2 | 2045 | Timnath/ Larimer | LCR-5 Widening | LCR-5 | SH-14 | Realigned Main Street | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | Larimer Funding Task Force | Widening |
| 349 |  | 2 | 2 | 2030 | Timnath/FoCo | Propect Widening | Prospect | West Timnath GMA | Main St | 2 Lanes | 4 Lanes | 2015 Timnath Plan | Widening |

## MEMORANDUM

## To: NFRMPO Technical Advisory Committee

## From: Medora Bornhoft and Ryan Dusil

## Date: April 10, 2019

## Re: 2045 Regionally Significant Corridor (RSC) Changes

## Background

The proposed Regionally Significant Corridor (RSC) criteria for the 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) were discussed by TAC at the April 18, 2018, May 16, 2018, and August 15, 2018 TAC meetings. The NFRMPO identifies RSCs to focus limited transportation funding dollars and planning efforts on the corridors most significant to the region. This Discussion Item provides a recommended 2045 RSC network based on updated criteria. The RSC network is required for the 2045 RTP and the 2019 Congestion Management Process (CMP).

The following are the proposed 2045 RSC criteria:

1. Include all Interstates, US Highways, and State Highways.
2. Include all other roadways that meet the following criteria:
a. The roadway is eligible to receive federal aid.
b. The roadway goes through more than one governmental jurisdiction or connects to an activity center by 2045.
c. Segments of roadway that do not yet exist or are not currently federal-aid eligible have improvements planned by 2045.
d. The roadway serves regional traffic as determined by local knowledge.

Roadways eligible for federal aid include the National Highway System, the Interstate System, and all other public roads not classified by the State DOT as local roads or rural minor collectors, as defined in $\underline{23}$ Part 470 . In January 2019, the NFRMPO and several communities jointly submitted functional classification change requests to CDOT to make portions of WCR 13 and Two Rivers Parkway federal-aid eligible. The requests are still pending approval; however, all requested segments are included in the proposed 2045 RSC network based on the assumption these requests will be approved. In April 2019, Loveland confirmed they will submit a reclassification request for Centerra Parkway (LCR 5), therefore that segment is also included in the proposed 2045 RSC network.

Table 1 describes the rationale for segments from the 2040 RSC network proposed for removal.

The attached map (Figure 1) identifies the proposed 2045 RSC network based on the aforementioned criteria. Segments in blue represent the current and/or future RSC alignment, while red segments are proposed for removal because they do not meet at least one of the criteria. Table $\mathbf{2}$ identifies the proposed numbering and naming convention for the 2045 RSC network.


| Table 1: Proposed 2040 RSC Segment Removals |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corridor | Segment Proposed for <br> Removal | Rationale |
| RSC 9: SH 56 | Meadowlark Alignment <br> parallel to US 287 | No longer SH 56 |
| RSC 9: SH 56 | Proposed WCR 9.5 to WCR 17 | Not a State Highway. Majority is not federal-aid <br> eligible and no improvements planned |
| RSC 18: WCR 13 | SH 402 to WCR 38 | Not federal-aid eligible and no improvements <br> planned |
| RSC 24: 83 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue | WCR 80 to WCR 64.5 | Not federal-aid eligible and improvements planned <br> for minority of corridor |
| RSC 28: Timberline | SH 60 to WCR 38 | Not federal-aid eligible and no improvements <br> planned |

## Action

Staff requests TAC review and discuss the proposed criteria for RSCs in the 2045 RTP and the proposed 2045 RSCs. The proposed criteria and 2045 RSCs will return to TAC as an Action Item in May.

Figure 1: Proposed 2045 RSC Network



Table 2: Proposed 2045 RSC Numbering and Naming Convention

| RSC | Name | Centerline Miles |  | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current | Buildout |  |
| 1 | I-25 | 27.1 | 27.1 | Northern MPO boundary to southern MPO boundary |
| 2 | US 34 | 34.4 | 34.4 | Western MPO boundary to eastern MPO boundary |
| 3 | US 34 Business Route | 15.5 | 15.5 | US 34 MP 102 on the west to US 34 MP 115.5 on the east |
| 4 | US 85 | 16.3 | 16.3 | Weld CR 70 on the north to Weld CR 48 on the south |
| 5 | US 85 Business Route | 4.4 | 4.4 | US 34 on the south to US 85 on the north |
| 6 | US 287 | 32.5 | 32.5 | Northern MPO boundary to southern MPO boundary, includes Berthoud Bypass |
| 7 | SH 1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | Northern MPO boundary to US 287 on the south |
| 8 | SH 14 | 14.2 | 14.2 | US 287 on the west to eastern MPO boundary |
| 9 | SH 56 | 7.0 | 7.0 | US 287 on the west to the RSC 14 extension on the east |
| 10 | SH 60 | 19.8 | 19.8 | US 287 on the west to the southern MPO boundary |
| 11 | SH 257 | 18.6 | 18.6 | SH 14 on the north to SH 60 on the south, includes offset in Windsor |
| 12 | SH 392 | 21.3 | 21.3 | US 287 on the west to US 85 on the east |
| 13 | SH 402 / Freedom Parkway | 21.2 | 21.2 | Larimer CR 17 on the west to US 85 on the east |
| 14 | Larimer CR 3 | 4.0 | 12.1 | Crossroads Boulevard on the north to southern MPO boundary |
| 15 | Larimer CR 5 | 12.0 | 12.0 | SH 14 on the north to US 34 on the south |
| 16 | Larimer CR 17 | 22.2 | 22.2 | US 287 on the north to SH 56 on the south |
| 17 | Larimer CR 19 | 15.7 | 15.7 | US 287 on the north to US 34 on the south |
| 18 | Weld CR 13 | 14.1 | 14.1 | SH 14 on the north to US 34 on the south |
| 19 | Weld CR 17 | 12.1 | 12.1 | Crossroads Boulevard Extension on the north to southern MPO boundary |
| 20 | Weld CR 74 / Harmony Road | 22.6 | 22.6 | Larimer CR 17 on the west to the eastern MPO boundary |
| 21 | 8th Street | 3.6 | 3.6 | US 85 on the west to the eastern MPO boundary |
| 22 | 35th Avenue | 9.4 | 9.4 | O Street on the north to US 85 on the south |
| 23 | 59th Avenue / 65th Avenue | 9.1 | 9.1 | SH 392 on the north to 54th Street on the south |
| 24 | 83rd Avenue / Two Rivers Parkway | 9.8 | 9.8 | Weld CR 64.5 on the north to SH 60 on the south |
| 25 | Crossroads Boulevard / O Street | 12.0 | 18.8 | I-25 on the west to US 85 on the east |
| 26 | Mulberry Street | 2.7 | 2.7 | Larimer CR 19 on the west to Riverside Avenue (SH 14) on the east |
| 27 | Prospect Road | 5.0 | 5.0 | US 287 on the west to Larimer CR 5 on the east |
| 28 | Timberline Road | 18.0 | 21.7 | Vine Drive on the north to SH 60 on the south |

# MOBILITY COORDINATION 

## Larimer Receives NADTC grant for Phase II -

The Larimer County Senior Transportation Work Group has received funding from the National Aging and Disability Transportation
nadtc National Aging and Disability Transportation Center

Center (NADTC) for Phase II of the Project MILES (Mobility Inclusiveness; Locations Everywhere; Simple.) Project. Phase Il will be led by the Partnership for Age-Friendly Communities in Larimer County (PAFC) and the Larimer County Office on Aging (LCOA) in partnership with the NFRMPO, ride providers, RouteMatch, and Via Mobility Services.

The focus of Phase II is to test a working call center for ride providers in southern Larimer County. Via Mobility Services, a non-profit transportation provider based in Boulder County and providing demand-response services in Estes Park, currently operates a large call center. Via has agreed to join the project and to provide staff support from the call center.

The grant was awarded in March 2019 and is expected to be complete by August 2019. The Senior Transportation Work Group will draft a report about successes and lessons learned, which will be incorporated into the Larimer County Senior Transportation Implementation Plan.

## Bustang fares to increase

Starting in May 2019, Bustang will implement a fare increase on all lines. Fares from downtown Fort Collins and Harmony Transfer Center will increase from \$10 to \$11, while fares from Loveland will increase from \$9 to $\$ 10$. Senior and disabled fares will not be impacted by this increase.

The fare increase is scheduled to become effective Sunday, May 19, 2019.

Comments are currently being accepted on the ridebustang.com website.

## Greeley Evans Transit website updated

Based on feedback from riders, the GET website was updated to be more userfriendly. Specifically,
 the GET team updated the website to be more accessible to ereaders and other devices allowing visually-impaired individuals better access to the website.

## CASTA Initiates Certified Transit Driver Program

The Colorado Association of Transit Agencies (CASTA) initiated a Certified Transit Driver Program (CTDP) to provide additional training, networking opportunities, and additional skills, and set transit drivers up for career advancement. The CASTA Board of Director appointed an advisory board with input from CASTA staff to oversee the program.
To be eligible, the driver must have driven at least 2,100 hours, have no preventable accidents in the past 1,300 driving hours, have a stellar attendance record, and completed an application form.


The program consists of qualified training electives, maintain no preventable accidents and an acceptable attendance record while in the program, and attend in-person training. These requirements can be met over time because there is currently no time limit.
For more information, visit the CASTA website: https://coloradotransit.com/

## MOBILITY COORDINATION

## PROGRAMUPDATES

What is the Mobility Coordination Program? - Mobility Coordination program activities are centered on education and cooperative resource-sharing to use existing transportation dollars most efficiently. NFRMPO Examples include travel training, cooperative research, public forums, program implementation, FTA grant administration and public education. The NFRMPO Mobility Coordination Program is funded with Federal Transit Administration (FTA) $\S 5310$ funds. Funding has been secured for $\S 5310$ funds from both CDOT for Weld County and Fort Collins on behalf of the Fort Collins-Loveland-Berthoud TMA.

Rider's Guide Updates-More than 110 users have logged onto the online service to find potential service matches since January 1, 2019, with 99.1 percent of users being new. To request printed Rider's Guides, contact Alex Gordon at agordon@nfrmpo.org or (970) 416-2023. The online Rider's Guide is available at noco.findmyride.info.

## Upcoming Meeting Schedule

| April 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  | May 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Su | M | T | W | Th | F | Sa | Su | M | T | W | Th | F | Sa |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 |  |  |  |  | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |
| LCMC Meeting |  |  |  | STC Meeting |  |  |  |  | WCMC Meeting |  |  |  |  |


| June 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S u}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{T h}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{S a}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 7 | 8 |  |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |
| 23 | 24 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Committee Members

| Larimer County Mobility Committee |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arc of Larimer County | Berthoud Area <br> Transportation System |
| Rural Alternative for <br> Transportation | City of Loveland Transit |
| CO Division of Voc. Rehab | Elderhaus |
| Foothills Gateway | Heart\&SOUL Paratransit |
| LC Department of Health <br> and Environment, Built <br> Environment | LC Office on Aging |
| LC Workforce Center <br> Transfort | SAINT |

## Weld County Mobility Committee

Meets the fourth Thursday of every other month.

| Arc of Weld County | CO Division of Voc. Rehab |
| :---: | :---: |
| Connections for <br> Independent Living/WAND | Envision Colorado |
| Greeley Evans Transit | North Range Behavioral <br> Health |
| Senior Resource Services | Sunrise Community Health |
| United Way of Weld County |  |

For additional meeting details including agendas, notes \& meeting location, visit nfrmpo.org/mobility.


| Strategic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PREVIOUS ENTRY 2019-017 $\quad$ 1-25 Parallel Road - WCR 9.5 | CDOT Region 4 | Pre-construction | State | SB1 | - | - | 900 |  |  |  | 900 |
|  |  |  | Total |  | - | - | 900 |  | - | - | 90 |
| Project Description:\|Up to 30\% Design for future l-25 parallel road on WCR 9.5, construction TBD. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVISED ENTRYSR46600.081 | CDOT Region 4 | Pre-construction | State | SB1 | - |  | 900 |  |  |  | 900 |
|  |  |  | Total |  | . | - | 900 | . | - | - | 900 |
| Project Description: Up to $30 \%$ Design for future $1-25$ parallel road on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| PREVIOUS ENTRY SR45218.129 | 2017-M4 | US 34 \& US 85 Interchange | CDOT Region 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interchange } \\ \text { Improvements } \end{gathered}$ | Federal State State | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NHPP } \\ & \text { SHF } \\ & \text { RDP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 800 \\ 200 \\ 1,500 \end{array}$ | - | - | : | . | . | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 2,500 | - | - | - | , | - | - |
| REVISED ENTRY | 2017-M4 | US34 \& US85 Interchange | CDOT Region 4 | Interchange | Federal | NHPP | 800 | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| SR45218.129 |  |  |  | Improvements | State | SHF | 200 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | State | RDP | 1,500 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | State | SB1 | - | - | 500 | - | - | - | 500 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 2,500 | - | 500 | - | - | - | 500 |


| PREVIOUS ENTRY | P-7 | Region 4 FASTER Safety Pool CDOT Region 4 For the most current project funding information, please see CDOT's STIP at https://mww.codot.gov/business/budget/documents/dailySTIP.pdf |  | FASTER Safety | State | FASTER Safety | 1,000 | - | 1,900 | 3,000 |  |  | 4,900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR46606 |  |  |  | Local Local |  | ${ }^{1}, 0$. | . |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1,000 |  | 1,900 | 3,000 |  |  | 4,900 |
| Pool Projects: |  |  |  |  | Project Description: Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.073 | - | 1-25: Fort Collins North Cable Rail | CDOT Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.083 | - | SH-60 and WCR-40 intersection | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Project Description: | Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.089 | - | College \& Troutman Signal Upgrades | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: | Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.070 | - | Intersection Safety Improvements Pool | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pool Description:\|Summary of CDOT Region 4 FASTER Safety Project Programming in the North Front Range region. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVISED ENTRY | P-7 | Region 4 FASTER Safety Pool | CDOT Region 4 | FASTER Safety | State | FASTER Safety | 1,000 |  | 1,900 | 3,000 |  |  | 4,900 |
| SR46606 |  | For the most current project funding information, please see CDOT's STIP at https://mwn.codot.gov/business/budget/documents/dailySTIP.pdf |  |  | Local Local |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | . |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 | - | 1,900 | 3,000 | - | - | 4,900 |
| Pool Projects: |  |  |  |  | Project Description: Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.073 | - | 1-25: Fort Collins North Cable Rail | CDOT Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.083 | - | SH-60 and WCR-40 Intersection | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: | Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.089 | - | College \& Troutman Signal Upgrades | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: | Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.070 | - | Intersection Safety Improvements Pool | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: | Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46606.070 | - | US 287 and Foothills Parkway | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Safety Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pool Description: Summary of CDOT Region 4 FASTER Safety Project Programming in the North Front Range region. <br> Reason:\|Add project to pool: US287 and Foothills Parkway. No change to pool total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FY 2019 - FY 2022 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
North Front Range Transportation \& Air Quality Planning Council

| Submitted to: CDOT |  |  | Administrative Modification \#2019-M3 Prepared by: Medora Bornhoft |  |  |  | DATE: 3/14/2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dollars Listed in Thousands |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Funding Program } / 1 \\ \text { STIP ID } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NFR TIP Number | Project Title/Location |  |  |  |  | Project Sponsor | Improvement Type | Source of Funds | Funding Type/ Program | Previous Funding | Rolled Funding | FY 19 | FY 20 | FY 21 | FY 22 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FY 19-22 } \\ \text { TIP TOTAL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Surface Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PREVIOUS ENTRYSR45218 | P-13 | Region 4 Surface Treatment | CDOT Region 4 | Surface Treatment | Federal | STBG / NHPP | 6,432 | - | 19,601 | 16,545 | 8,757 | 8,757 | 53,659 |
|  |  | For the most current project funding information, please see CDOT's STIP at https://muw.codot.gov/business/budget/documents/dailySTIP.pdf |  |  | State | State Highway Fund Local Overmatch | 877 | - | 3,849 | 5,284 | 1,668 | 1,668 | 12,469 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 7,309 | - | 23,449 | 21,829 | 10,425 | 10,425 | 66,128 |
| Pool Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.114 | - | SH60: 1-25 to Milliken | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Minor Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.183 | - | SH14 Ft Collins East | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Minor Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.179 | - | US34: US85 east to US34A | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Minor Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.182 | - | US287 Berthoud Bypass Repair | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Surface Treatment Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.174 | - | US85L: O St to Ault | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Surface Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.187 | - | SH263: US 85 to Greeley Airport | CDOT Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVISED ENTRY <br> SR45218 | P-13 | Region 4 Surface Treatment | CDOT Region 4 | Surface Treatment | Federal | STBG / NHPP | 6,432 | - | 19,601 | 16,545 | 8,757 | 8,757 | 53,659 |
|  |  |  |  |  | State | State Highway Fund | - | - | 3,849 | 5,284 | 1,668 | 1,668 | 12,469 |
|  |  |  |  |  | State | SB1 | - | - | 1,975 | - | - | - | 1,975 |
|  |  | For the most current project funding information, please see CDOT's STIP at https://mwn.codot.gov/business/budget/documents/dailySTIP.pdf |  |  | Local | Local |  |  | 1,500 |  |  |  | 1,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Local Overmatch | Local Overmatch | 877 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 7,309 | - | 26,924 | 21,829 | 10,425 | 10,425 | 69,603 |
| Pool Projects: |  |  |  |  | Project Description: Minor Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.114 | - | SH60: I-25 to Milliken | CDOT Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.183 | - | SH14 Ft Collins East | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Minor Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.179 | - | US34: US85 east to US34A | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Minor Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.182 | - | US287 Berthoud Bypass Repair | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Surface Treatment Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.174 | - | US85L: O St to Ault | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Surface Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.187 | - | SH263: US 85 to Greeley Airport | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Surface Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR46600.054 | - | Fort Collins CBC Underpass (College Ave S/o Foothills Parkway | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Surface Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.208 | - | US 34 \& WCR 17 | CDOT Region 4 |  | Project Description: Interchange Improvements Project Description: Interchange Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.209 | - | US $34 \& 35$ th Ave Interchange | CDOT Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR45218.210 | - | US $34 \& 47$ th Ave Interchange | CDOT Region 4 |  |  | ange Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pool Description: | Summary of | CDOT Region 4 Surface Treatment Project Programming in the North | Front Range region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Reason:Add 3 pool projects: SR45218.208, SR45218.209, and SR45218.210. Increase pool total by $\$ 1,975 \mathrm{~K}$ state SB1 in FY19 and $\$ 1,500 \mathrm{~K}$ local in FY19.



FY 2019 - FY 2022 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
North Front Range Transportation \& Air Quality Planning Council

| Submitted to: CDOT |  |  | Administrative Modification \#2019-M3 Prepared by: Medora Bornhoft |  |  |  | DATE: 3/14/2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | llars List | ed in Tho | usand |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Funding Program / } \\ \text { STIP ID } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NFR TIP Number | Project Title/Location |  |  |  |  | Project Sponsor | Improvement Type | Source of Funds | Funding Type/ Program | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Previous } \\ \text { Funding } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rolled } \\ \text { Funding } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FY 19 | FY 20 | FY 21 |  | FY 22 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FY 19-22 } \\ \text { TIP TOTAL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Grants: Safe Routes to Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PREVIOUS ENTRYSR47001 | P-24 | Safe Routes to School | Various Below | Safety | Federal | Surface Transportation Block Grant | 256 | 299 | - | - | - |  | - | 299 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Local | Local | 21 | 75 | - | - | - |  | - | 75 |
|  |  | For the most current project funding information, please see CDOT's STIP at https://mww.codot.gov/business/budget/documents/dailySTIP.pdf |  | Local OvermatchTotal $\quad$ Local Overmatch |  |  | 0 | 153 | 48 | - | - |  |  | 201 |
|  |  |  |  | 277 | 527 | 48 | - | - |  | - | 575 |
| Pool Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR47001.027 | - | West 4th Street Bicycle \& Pedestrian Safety Imp | City of Loveland |  | Project Description: Bike/Ped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description:S Summary of CDOT Region 4 Safe Routes to School Pool Programming in the North Front Range Region. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVISED ENTRYSR47001 | P-24 | Safe Routes to School | Various Below |  |  |  | Safety | Federal | Surface Transportation Block Grant | 256 | 299 | - | 1,296 | - |  | - | 1,595 |
|  |  |  |  | Local | Local | 21 |  | 75 | - | 333 | - |  | - | 408 |
|  |  | For the most current project funding information, please see CDOT's STIP at https://mww.codot.gov/business/budget/documents/dailySTIP.pdf |  | Local Overmatch | Local Overmatch | 0 |  | 153 | 48 | 221 | - |  | - | 422 |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  | 277 |  | 527 | 48 | 1,850 | - |  | - | 2,425 |
| Pool Projects: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR47001.027 | - | West 4th Street Bicycle \& Pedestrian Safety Imp |  |  | Project Description: Bike/Ped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR47001.029 |  | Hampshire Bikeway Arterial Cross | City of Fort Collins |  | Project Description: Bike/Ped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR47001.030 |  | $N$ Wilson Ave Sidewalk | City of Loveland |  | Project Description: Bike/Ped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR47001.031 | - | Berthoud Safe Routes Phase 1 | Town of Berthoud |  | Project Description: Bike/Ped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Description: Summary of CDOt Region 4 Safe Routes to School Pool Programming in the North Front Range Region. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTA 5307 - Urbanized Area Formula Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PREVIOUS ENTRYSST6741.086 | 2019-013 | Paratransit Bus Replacement | Greeley | Replacement | Federal | FTA 5307 | - | - | 324 | 157 | 15 |  | 10 | 739 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Local |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | - | - | 324 | 157 | 157 |  | 101 | 739 |
| Project Description:]GET Paratransit Bus Replacement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { REVISED ENTRY } \\ & \text { SST6741.130 } \end{aligned}$ | 2019-013 | Paratransit Bus Replacement | Greeley | Replacement | Federal | FTA 5307 | - | - | 324 | 157 | 15 |  | 10 | 739 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Local |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | - | - | 324 | 157 | 157 |  | 101 | 739 |
| Project Description: GET Paratransit Bus Replacement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason:\| Correct STIP ID SST6741.086 to SST6741.130. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Status | Level | TPR | Local | PNum | Project Name | Phase | Budget | Expend | Balance | FHWA Date | FHWA Day | Last Date | Spend Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WARNING!! | Under \$50k | NFR | CDOT | STA 2873-100 | US 287:SH1 to LaPorte Bypass | Design | \$4,777,094 | (\$4,777,044) | \$50 | 01-Jan-99 | 7395 | 21-Mar-16 | 1106 |
| WARNING!! | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | STA 2873-100 | US 287:SH1 to LaPorte Bypass | ROW | \$7,006,777 | (\$6,638,325) | \$368,452 | 12-Jul-05 | 5011 | 30-Sep-07 | 4201 |
| WARNING!! | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | STA 2873-100 | US 287:SH1 to LaPorte Bypass | Utility | \$3,462,624 | (\$2,967,624) | \$495,000 | 19-Sep-12 | 2385 | 30-Mar-18 | 367 |
| WARNING!! | Under \$50k | NFR | Loveland | ER M830-084 | PRLA Roosevelt Rd @ BNSF | Misc. | \$91,324 | $(\$ 91,323)$ | \$1 | 10-Mar-14 | 1848 | 12-Jun-15 | 1389 |
| WARNING!! | Under \$200k | NFR | Milliken | TAP M887-006 | West Alice + Inez Blvd Ped Impmnt | Design | \$130,219 | \$0 | \$130,219 | 31-Oct-17 | 517 |  | 0 |
| WARNING!! | Over \$200k | NFR | Loveland | SHO M830-062 | US287 \& Orchards Shopping Center HES | Const. | \$250,000 | \$0 | \$250,000 | 17-Jan-18 | 439 |  | 0 |
| WARNING!! | Under \$50k | NFR | CDOT | STA 2873-100 | US 287:SH1 to LaPorte Bypass | Design | \$4,777,094 | (\$4,777,044) | \$50 |  | 0 | 21-Mar-16 | 1106 |
| WARNING!! | Over \$200k | NFR | Fort Collins | STU M455-118 | Horsetooth \& College Intersection Impv | Design | \$670,000 | $(\$ 321,885)$ | \$348,115 |  | 0 | 11-Jun-18 | 294 |


|  | Sub-Total | $\$ 21,165,132$ | $(\$ 19,573,244)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Projects with unexpended balances of more than \$200k with activity in the last year (FHWA §630.106 (5)(i)) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | STA 2873-100 | US 287:SH1 to LaPorte Bypass | Const. | \$28,920,200 | (\$26,117,253) | \$2,802,947 | 18-Sep-15 | 1291 | 28-Mar-19 | 4 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Fort Collins | AQC M455-088 | Jefferson Street/SH14 Improvements | ROW | \$526,100 | (\$399) | \$525,701 | 27-Aug-18 | 217 | 16-Jan-19 | 75 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Evans | STU M415-013 | US85 Access Control at 31st | Const. | \$777,283 | \$0 | \$777,283 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | NH 0853-089 | US34/85 Interchange Reconstruction | Design | \$2,500,000 | (\$1,214,258) | \$1,285,742 | 11-Apr-16 | 1085 | 14-Mar-19 | 18 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Fort Collins | AQC M455-111 | US287: Willox to SH 1 \& Ped Bridge | Design | \$696,863 | $(\$ 467,757)$ | \$229,106 | 03-Jan-14 | 1914 | 04-Dec-18 | 18 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Fort Collins | AQC M455-111 | US287: Willox to SH 1 \& Ped Bridge | ROW | \$810,000 | (\$332,879) | \$477,121 | 10-Jun-14 | 1756 | 04-Dec-18 | 118 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Fort Collins | AQC M455-111 | US287: Willox to SH 1 \& Ped Bridge | Const. | \$211,460 | \$0 | \$211,460 | 09-Jan-19 | 82 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Evans | STU M415-015 | 35th Ave: Prairie View to 37th St. | Const. | \$1,346,781 | \$0 | \$1,346,781 | 10-Aug-18 | 234 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | ER 0342-058 | PR US 34D MP 13.75 to MP 14.71 | Const. | \$3,332,847 | (\$2,890,654) | \$442,193 | 21-Nov-17 | 496 | 27-Mar-19 | 5 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | ER R400-322 | PR SH60 \& SH257 Structures | Const. | \$8,312,039 | (\$7,931,128) | \$380,911 | 09-Aug-17 | 600 | 28-Feb-19 | 32 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Greeley | AQC M570-048 | 10th Street in Greeley: Phase II | Const. | \$2,835,446 | \$0 | \$2,835,446 | 01-Feb-19 | 59 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Weld Co | ER C030-068 | PRLA WCR54 CR 13A Bridge over Big Thomps | Const. | \$422,432 | \$0 | \$422,432 | 21-Sep-17 | 557 | 25-Mar-19 | 7 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Larimer Co | ER C060-076 | PRLA CR15 @ Little T Bridge Mp 1.91 | Const. | \$2,264,164 | (\$1,968,975) | \$295,189 | 19-Sep-17 | 559 | 07-Feb-19 | 53 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Loveland | ER M830-084 | PRLA Roosevelt Rd @ BNSF | Const. | \$4,116,624 | (\$2,673,420) | \$1,443,204 | 12-Apr-18 | 354 | 22-Mar-19 | 10 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | IM 0253-242 | Crossroads Bridge Replacement @ I-25 | Const. | \$28,295,281 | (\$28,079,498) | \$215,783 | 15-Jun-16 | 1020 | 18-Mar-19 | 14 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Fort Collins | STU M455-118 | Horsetooth \& College Intersection Impv | Const. | \$3,228,901 | \$0 | \$3,228,901 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Loveland | AQC M830-086 | Loveland Traffic Optimization | Const. | \$380,000 | \$0 | \$380,000 | 21-Dec-18 | 101 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Greeley | TAP M570-050 | Sheep Draw Trail 2016 | Const. | \$523,750 | \$0 | \$523,750 | 20-Nov-18 | 132 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Fort Collins | BRO M455-121 | Spring Ck at Riverside (FCRVSDE-S.2PRST) | Const. | \$1,444,500 | $(\$ 678,800)$ | \$765,700 | 26-Jul-18 | 249 | 19-Dec-18 | 103 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | STA 0853-101 | US 85 Resurfacing Eaton to Ault | Utility | \$218,113 | $(\$ 7,085)$ | \$211,028 |  | 0 | 30-Jan-19 | 61 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | STA 0853-101 | US 85 Resurfacing Eaton to Ault | Const. | \$22,568,375 | (\$21,397,438) | \$1,170,937 | 31-Jan-17 | 790 | 14-Dec-18 | 108 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | FBR 0253-247 | 125 at Vine Drive Bridge Replacement | Utility | \$451,707 | \$0 | \$451,707 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | FBR 0253-247 | 125 at Vine Drive Bridge Replacement | Const. | \$6,971,536 | (\$294) | \$6,971,242 |  | 0 | 28-Feb-19 | 32 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | FBR 060A-022 | SH 60 Over the South Platte River | Const. | \$8,765,272 | (\$3,730,849) | \$5,034,422 |  | 0 | 21-Mar-19 | 11 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Loveland | C M830-089 | Byrd Dr Const for I-25 Frontage Removal | Misc. | \$1,100,000 | \$0 | \$1,100,000 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | BR R400-354 | Little-T Channel Work and SH 60 Resurf | Const. | \$542,100 | (\$182,565) | \$359,535 |  | 0 | 15-Oct-18 | 168 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | IM 0253-255 | I-25 North: SH 402 to SH 14 | Utility | \$2,062,914 | $(\$ 301,486)$ | \$1,761,428 |  | 0 | 08-Jan-19 | 83 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | IM 0253-255 | I-25 North: SH 402 to SH 14 | Const. | \$276,853,088 | (\$26,398,661) | \$250,454,427 | 08-Sep-17 | 570 | 28-Mar-19 | 4 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | IM 0253-255 | I-25 North: SH 402 to SH 14 | ROW | \$32,418,419 | $(\$ 4,574,037)$ | \$27,844,382 |  | 0 | 28-Mar-19 | 4 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NF\&UF | CDOT | FSA 0253-258 | I-25: SH 14 North Cable Rail | Design | \$1,200,000 | (\$51,451) | \$1,148,549 |  | 0 | 06-Mar-19 | 26 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | FSA 2873-190 | US 287 and Foothills Parkway | Const. | \$672,000 | \$0 | \$672,000 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Milliken | TAP M887-006 | West Alice + Inez Blvd Ped Impmnt | Const. | \$416,746 | \$0 | \$416,746 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | Loveland | SAR M830-095 | West 4th St Bike + Ped Safety Improve | Const. | \$374,000 | \$0 | \$374,000 | 06-Aug-18 | 238 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | FBR 0253-261 | 1-25 North: Prospect - Bridge Enterprise | Const. | \$18,483,675 | (\$854,450) | \$17,629,225 |  | 0 | 28-Mar-19 | 4 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | FBR 0253-261 | I-25 North: Prospect - Bridge Enterprise | ROW | \$1,758,700 | \$0 | \$1,758,700 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | FBR 0253-261 | 1-25 North: Prospect - Bridge Enterprise | Utility | \$1,144,500 | \$0 | \$1,144,500 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| FHWA OK | Over \$200k | NFR | CDOT | C R400-361 | Region 4 Traffic Mgmt. Center | Design | \$320,000 | $(\$ 7,899)$ | \$312,101 |  | 0 | 20-Aug-18 | 224 |




[^0]:    c: Weld Public Works - Elizabeth Relford/Dawn Anderson
    Weld Finance - Don Warden

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/plan4ops/focus_areas/cmp.htm

