



Mobility and Access Priority Group (MAPG) Walk Audit June 2, 2022

Directions: Please fill out the following walkability assessment areas in each category to indicate any problems, issues, or positive features in the built environment along the route your group took. Please check problems that apply on the route assessed for each category. Please note comments of major problems and their location (be descriptive of location, if possible) on the right side of each assessment category.

At the end of your route/walk, please give each category an overall assessment score between 0 and 10. <u>An overall score of "0" indicates the worst conditions possible and an overall score of "10" indicates the best possible conditions.</u>

Please also have at least one group participant utilize the NFRMPO Hazard Reporting Tool (QR code above) on their phone as an additional method of providing feedback on issues experienced while walking.

phone as an additional method of providing feedback on issues experienced while walking.							
Ro	ute Number:						
Group Participants:							
Ple	Please list all group participants and basic contact information (in case there are follow up questions):						
Λ	one /Stronts /Intersections /Due Stone Assessed.						
Ar	eas/Streets/Intersections/Bus Stops Assessed:						
Ple	ase list physical areas assessed (streets, intersections, bus stops,	, e	tc.)				
1.	Sidewalks:						
	Overall Sidewalks Score (0-10):	(Other problems:				
		-					
	No sidewalks or paths						
	Sidewalks are broken, cracked, or have trip hazards Sidewalks are blocked by overgrown landscaping,	l	Notes/Comments:				
	poles, signs, plants,						
	Sidewalks are not continuous						
	Sidewalks are not wide enough						
	Sidewalks are not separated from street						
	Sidewalks lack accessibility features such as curb domes/cuts						
	domes/cuts						

2.	Street Crossings and Intersections:	
	Overall Street Crossings &	Other problems:
	Intersections Score (0-10):	N
	The road is too wide to cross easily and/or lacks a	Notes/Comments:
	pedestrian refuge island to break up the crossing	
	Traffic signals do not give enough time to cross the street	
	The crossing does not have a pedestrian-activated button	
	There is no crosswalk, or it is poorly marked	
	I have to walk too far to find a safe, marked crosswalk	
	Intersection does not have a curb ramp for carts,	
	wheelchairs, strollers, etc.	
2	Driver Behavior:	
э.	Overall Driver Behavior Score (0-10):	Notes/Comments:
	everall Briver Behavior Score (o 10).	rvotes, comments.
	Drivers do not stop at stop signs or stop behind the	
	crosswalk	
	Drivers appear to be speeding	
	Drivers do not yield to people walking Drivers are distracted	
	Drivers are not looking for pedestrians	
	Drivers are making unexpected turns	
	Drivers seem hostile or are recklessly driving	
	Other problems:	
4.	Safety:	
	Overall Safety Score (0-10):	
	Car speeds are too fast	Notes/Comments:
	There is too much traffic with no adequate	
	accommodations for pedestrians to safely move Streetlights are few or not present	
	Unleashed dogs or other loose intimidating animals	
	are present	
	Slippery conditions exist	
	Other problems:	

5.	Comfort:	
	Overall Comfort Score (0-10):	
		Notes/Comments:
	There is not enough shade	
	There are few or no street trees or other	
	landscaping	
	There are vacant lots or rundown buildings	
	The street needs benches and places to rest	
	There are inadequate trash receptacles	
	Other problems:	
ш	other problems.	
		
6.	Transit/Bus Stops:	
	Overall Transit/Bus Score (0-10):	
		Notes/Comments:
	The bus stop does not have adequate lighting	riotes, comments.
	The bus stop does not have adequate benches	
	The bus stop does not provide adequate shelter	
	The bus stop does not have adequate signage and	
	route information	
	The bus stop is inaccessible (please elaborate)	
	There are inadequate trash receptacles	
	Additional bus stops or transit connections should	
	be present (please note where)	
	Other problems:	
		Nata (Caramanta)
_	4	Notes/Comments:
7.	Wayfinding and Signage:	
	Overall Wayfinding Score (0-10):	
	The route lacks general wayfinding signs	
	The wayfinding signs are confusing or misleading	
	The route lacks wayfinding to nearby transit or bus	
_	stops	
	The route lacks wayfinding to nearby public facilities	
Ш	(bathrooms, etc.)	
	The route is difficult to navigate	
	The route has incorrect signage and wayfinding	
	Existing wayfinding/signage are out of date	
	Other problems:	

Please provide any additional comments, diagrams, notes, suggestions, or questions on the								
page. Please be clear to note the specific location each comment is relevant to, if possible	<u>.</u>							